arXiv:1612.02129v1 [math-ph] 7 Dec 2016

Inverse Problems for the Heat Equation with Memory

Sergei A. Avdonin*† S.A. Ivanov‡§ Junmin Wang ¶‖

Abstract

We study inverse boundary problems for a one dimensional linear integro-differential equation of the Gurtin–Pipkin type with the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map as the inverse data. Under natural conditions on the kernel of the integral operator, we give the explicit formula for the solution of the problem with the observation on the semiaxis $t > 0$. For the observation on finite time interval, we prove the uniqueness result, which is similar to the local Borg–Marchenko theorem for the Schrödinger equation.

MSC: 45K05, 35P20.

Keywords: Gurtin–Pipkin equation, inverse problem, Borg–Marchenko theorem.

1 Introduction and the main results

1.1 Gurtin–Pipkin type equations

It is known that the classical heat equation has a non-physical property, the infinite speed of propagation of singularities. Based on the modified Fourier

*University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK 99775-6660, USA, s.avdonin@alaska.edu

†The research of S.A. Avdonin was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, grant DMS 1411564, and by the Ministry of Education and Science of Republic of Kazakhstan under the grant no. 4290/GF4.

‡Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia, sergei.a.ivanov@mail.ru

§The research of S.A. Ivanov was supported in part by the Russian Foundation of Basic Research, grant 14-01-00349a.

¶School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, P.R. China, jmwang@bit.edu.cn

‖The research of J.M. Wang was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant 61673061.

law, Gurtin and Pipkin [7] introduced a model of heat transfer with finite propagation speed[1]. In the present paper we consider a form of this model described by the linear integro-differential equation

$$\theta_t(x,t) = \int_0^t k(t-s)\theta_{xx}(x,s)ds, \quad t>0,\ x\in(0,L),\ L\le\infty, \tag{1}$$

the initial condition $\theta(0,\cdot)=0$ and the boundary conditions

$$\theta(0,t)=f(t),\ \theta(L,t)=0. \tag{2}$$

The latter condition will be dropped in the case $L=\infty$.

Another form of the Gurtin–Pipkin model (an isotropic viscoelastic model) is described by the integro-differential equation of the second order in time:

$$u_{tt}(x,t) = au_{xx} + \int_0^t k(t-s)u_{xx}(x,s)\,ds,\ a>0,\ x\in(0,L),\ t>0. \tag{3}$$

The following form of the heat equation with memory,

$$u_t(x,t) = u_{xx} + \int_0^t k(t-s)u_{xx}(x,s)\,ds,\ x\in(0,L),\ t>0, \tag{4}$$

can also be found in the literature.

We will consider the equation (1) because in this form the integral term plays the most important role. In some interpretations of the equation this means the absence of the latent heat. Note that the differentiation of (1) with respect to t leads to the equation of the form (3). The system described by (4) has the infinite speed of propagation of singularities and is closer, in a sense, to the heat equation than (1).

Equation (1) can be treated as a perturbed wave equation. In the case $k(t)=\text{const}=\alpha^2$, equation (1) is in fact an integrated wave equation. Indeed, differentiating (1) we obtain $u_{tt}=\alpha^2u_{xx}$. If $k(t)=e^{-bt}$, then differentiation gives a damped wave equation $u_{tt}=u_{xx}-bu_t$. In the singular case $k(t)=\delta(t)$ the equation (1) becomes the heat equation.

1.2 Well-posedness of initial boundary value problems

Well-posedness of initial boundary value problems for the Gurtin–Pipkin type equations was studied by many authors. In particular, regularity of the solutions in the Sobolev spaces was a topic of a series of papers of V.Vlasov

[1]The propagation of singularities was studied in [17], [6]

and his coauthors, e.g.[11], see also [8]. For the case of a finite time interval regularity results for several forms of Gurtin–Pipkin type equations can be found in the book [10]. Note that in these papers the kernel k is assumed to be continuous and positive at the origin.

For the case of a finite space interval the solution can be obtained using the Fourier approach (see the example in section 4). The solution can also be constructed by the Laplace transform, see section 2.

Now we state the assumption on the kernel that we use in the present paper. In what follows we suppose that the Laplace transform of k satisfies the condition

$$K(z) = \frac{a^2}{z} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right), \ a > 0, \ \Re z > 0. \tag{5}$$

Roughly speaking this means that k' is bounded and $k(0) = a^2 > 0$.

Remark 1 *If $k(0) = 0$, the solution may not exist even in a weak sense. In the example of section 4 we show that in the case $k(t) = t^2$ the equation* (1) *has no solution in Sobolev spaces.*

1.3 The statement of the inverse problem

Let $T \leq \infty$ $f \in L^2(0,T)$ and θ^f be a solution to the initial boundary value problem (1), (2). We introduce the response operator $r^T : L^2(0,T) \mapsto L^2_{loc}(0,T)$ with the domain $\{f \in H^1(0,T),\ f(0) = 0\}$ acting by the rule

$$(r^T f)(t) = \theta^f_x(0,t), \quad t \in (0,T). \tag{6}$$

Our inverse problem is: given the response operator r^T, to recover the kernel k on the maximal possible interval $[0, T_0]$. (We will demonstrate that this interval is exactly $[0,T]$.)

Such a statement of inverse problem is standard for the hyperbolic type equations, see, e.g [1]. However, the known methods do not work in our situation. For example, the boundary control method successfully used in [1] for recovering a matrix potential $Q(x)$ is not applicable to the problem where unknown coefficient depends on time.

It is convenient to extend the response operator to distributions including the delta function. Due to linearity of the Gurtin–Pipkin equation, the response operator is completely determined by the response to the Dirac delta control, as well as in the case of the wave type equation [1].

1.4 A brief survey of known results on inverse problems for equations with memory

There are many papers concerning inverse problems for partial differential equations with memory. However, almost all of them deal with unknown sources or (in multidimensional cases) with unknown spatial part of the kernel $k(x,t)$ if it has a form $k(x,t) = h(t)p(x)$. Here we mention several papers devoted to inverse problems similar to ours.

In [9] the equation of the second order with memory in $\mathbb{R}^3$ was studied:

$$u_{tt} = \Delta u - k * u, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \ u|_0 = 0, \ u_t|_0 = \delta(x - x_0).$$

The inverse data is the value of the scattering wave at x_0 for $0 < t < T$. The authors demonstrate the uniquely stable identification of k on the time interval $[0, T - |x_0|]$.

In [12] the IP for the system describing by the equation

$$\beta u_t = u_{xx} - m * u_{xx}, \ x \in (0,1),$$

was studied. The properties of this system is close to a parabolic type equation. The authors prove that by, for instance, given $u(x_0,t)$, $t > 0$, it is possible to recover the kernel m.

In [3, 4, 2] the inverse problem for the system (1), (2) was studied on a finite spatial interval $(0, L)$ with the help of the Fourier method. A linear algorithm reconstructing the kernel k from two boundary observation was developed. One of the observations corresponds to a nonzero initial condition.

1.5 Main results

In the present paper we study inverse problem for the system (1), (2) on a finite spatial interval and on the semi-axis with the help of the Laplace transform. In the case of the infinite time of observation we obtain explicit formulas that allow recovering the Laplace transform of the kernel k.

In the case of a finite time of observation we prove the uniqueness of the solution to the inverse problem and obtain the local uniqueness result similar to the local Borg–Marchenko theorem for the Schrödinger equation [13, 15]. Our approach is based on the Laplace transform and uses some basic facts of the Hardy space theory.

We recall now the local Borg–Marchenko theorem. The following uniqueness result was proved in [13] (see also a very short proof in [15]). If two

Weyl-Titchmarsh m-functions, $m_j(z)$, $j = 1, 2$, of two Schrödinger operators $d^2/dx^2 - q_j$ are exponentially close, that is,

$$|m_1(z) - m_2(z)| \le Ce^{-2\Im\sqrt{z}a},$$

then $q_1 = q_2$ on $[0, a]$. This result may be considered as a local version of the celebrated Borg–Marchenko uniqueness theorem [16].

In the present paper we prove a similar local uniqueness result for kernel of the heat equation with memory.

2 The stationary inverse problem, $T = \infty$

The inverse data is r^∞, the observation of $\theta_x(0, t)$ for all $t > 0$.

We suppose that the solution to the IBVP (1), (2) does not grow too fast in order to be able to apply the Laplace transform. This assumption can be justified using the integral representation of the solution presented in [10] (section 1.2, formula (1.10)) and the condition (5).

We apply the Laplace transform to (1), (2), denote the images by capitals and obtain the family of ODEs depending on z as a parameter:

$$z\Theta(x, z) = K(z)\Theta_{xx}(x, z),\ x \in (0, L),\ \Theta(0, z) = F(z). \tag{7}$$

For every z this differential (in x) equation has constant coefficients. We set $\omega(z) = \sqrt{z/K(z)}$ (the main branch) and consider separately the cases of a finite and the infinite interval $[0, L]$.

2.1 The case $L = \infty$

Theorem 1 *Let k satisfy (5) and $L = \infty$. Then the kernel k can be uniquely recovered from r^∞.*

Proof: The solution to (7) which do not increasing exponentially in the right half z-plane is

$$\Theta(x, z) = F(z)e^{-\omega(z)x}.$$

Then the Laplace transform of the response $\theta_x(0, t)$ is

$$R(z) = \Theta'(0, z) = -F(z)\omega(z) = -F(z)\sqrt{z/K(z)}. \tag{8}$$

Evidently, we can find $K(z)$ via the data R and the given F. ■

2.2 The case of a finite interval, $L < \infty$

Theorem 2 *Let k satisfy (5) and $L < \infty$. Then the kernel k can be uniquely recovered from r^∞.*

PROOF: In this case the solution Θ satisfies the equation (7) and zero boundary condition at $x = L$. Thus, we have the problem

$$\Theta'' = \omega^2\Theta,\ \Theta(0,z) = F(z),\ \Theta(L,z) = 0.$$

First, taking into account only the boundary condition at $x = 0$, we obtain

$$\Theta = F(z)\cosh[\omega(z)x] + \Phi(z)\sinh[\omega(z)x].$$

The BC boundary condition $x = L$ implies

$$\Theta(L,z) = F(z)\cosh[\omega(z)L] + \Phi(z)\sinh[\omega(z)L] = 0.$$

Then for $\omega(z)L \neq \pi n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\Phi(z) = -\frac{F(z)\cos[\omega(z)L]}{\sin[\omega(z)L]}.$$

From the other hand,

$$R(z) = \Theta'(0,z) = \omega(z)\Phi(z) = -\omega(z)\frac{F(z)\cos[\omega(z)L]}{\sin[\omega(z)L]}.$$

It is possible now to recover $\omega(z)$, then $K(z)$ and $k(t)$. ■

3 Non-stationary inverse problem, the local uniqueness, $T < \infty$

First, we consider the case $L = \infty$. Note that K can has only finite number of zeros by (5). We suppose now that $K(z)$ has no zeros in the right half plane

$$K(z) \neq 0,\ \Re z > 0. \tag{9}$$

This takes place, in particular, if k is a non-increasing function.

Now the inverse data is $r(t) = \theta_x(0,t)$, $t < T$, corresponding to the control $f(t) = t^+$. Then $F = \frac{1}{z^2}$ and by (8) and the assumption on K we obtain that

$$|R| \asymp \frac{a}{|z|},\ \Re z > 0,$$

and R is analytical in the right half plane. In fact we need R to be in the right Hardy space.

Evidently, we can not find the whole R from the ID, but we know its projection R^T onto

$$K_T = H^2(\Re z > 0) \ominus e^{-zT} H^2(\Re z > 0).$$

Now we write R^T in the terms of $R(z) = R^\infty(z)$. For simplicity we write the integral in the inverse Laplace transform over the imaginary axis (not over $i\mathbb{R} + \sigma$).

$$\mathcal{L}[\chi_{[0,T]} r(t)](z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tz} \chi_{[0,T]} r^\infty(t) dt$$

$$= \int_0^T dt\, e^{-tz} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dp\, e^{pt} R(p) \stackrel{p=iy}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy\, R(iy) \int_0^T dt\, e^{t(iy-z)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{T(iy-z)} - 1}{iy - z} R(iy)\ dy.$$

Thus the problem is to understand what information about k can be extracted from the equation

$$R^T(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{T(iy-z)} - 1}{iy - z} \frac{1}{y^2} \sqrt{\frac{iy}{K(iy)}}\ dy$$

with known $R^T(z)$.

Theorem 3 *$k_1(t) = k_2(t)$, $0 \le t \le T$, if and only if $R_1^T = R_2^T$.*

PROOF: The part **'only if'**. We have

$$K_1(z) - K_2(z) = \int_T^\infty e^{-zt} (k_1(t) - k_2(t)) dt.$$

This means that

$$e^{zT}(K_1(z) - K_2(z)) \in H^2(\Re z > 0). \tag{10}$$

From the explicit expression for the response operator we find

$$R_1(z) - R_2(z) = \frac{\sqrt{z}}{z^2 \sqrt{K_1 K_2}} \left(\sqrt{K_2} - \sqrt{K_1} \right)$$

or

$$R_1(z) - R_2(z) = \frac{\sqrt{z}}{z^2 \sqrt{K_1 K_2} \left(\sqrt{K_2} + \sqrt{K_1} \right)} \left(K_2 - K_1 \right). \tag{11}$$

By the main assumption (5) the factor

$$\frac{\sqrt{z}}{z^2\sqrt{K_1K_2}\left(\sqrt{K_2}+\sqrt{K_1}\right)}$$

is asymptotically equal $1/a^3$.

Then (10) implies

$$e^{zT}(R_1 - R_2) \in H^2(\Re z > 0)$$

what is equivalent to $r_1(t) = r_2(t)$, $0 \le t \le T$.

Part **'if'**. The equality

$$R_1^T = R_2^T,$$

can be written as

$$e^{zT}(R_1 - R_2) \in H^2(\Re z > 0).$$

From (11) we see that

$$e^{zT}(K_1 - K_2) \in H^2(\Re z > 0).$$

This means that $k_1(t) = k_2(t)$ for $0 \le t \le T$. ■

Let now $L < \infty$, and $x \in (0, L)$. Since the system (1), (2) has a finite speed of the wave propagation equal to a, we have the same uniqueness theorem as for the semi-axis.

Theorem 4 *$k_1(t) = k_2(t)$, $0 \le t \le T$, if and only if $R_1^{T_*} = R_2^{T_*}$, where $T_* = Ta \le L$.*

4 Example of a 'non Sobolev' solutions

Take a smooth kernel vanishing at the origin, say, $k(t) = t^2/2$. Its Laplace image is $K(z) = 1/z^3$. We demonstrate that the solution of the IBVP (1), (2) does not belong to any Sobolev space.

We will find the solution as a series in sine functions (the eigenfunction of the operator d^2/dx^2 with the Dirichlet boundary conditions)

$$\theta(x,t) = \sum_1^\infty \theta_n(t) \sin nx.$$

Let the initial data be

$$\theta(0,x) = \sum_1^\infty \xi_n \sin nx.$$

For the Laplace image of u_n we have [5]

$$\Theta_n(z) = \frac{\xi_n}{z + n^2 K(z)} = \frac{z^3 \xi_n}{z^4 + n^2},$$

and

$$\theta_n(t) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{z^3 e^{-zt}}{z^4 + n^2} dz.$$

The poles of the integrand are

$$z_{\pm,n} = \pm\sqrt{n} e^{\pm i\pi/4}.$$

So

$$2\pi i \theta_n(t) = \xi_n \sum \operatorname{res}(\frac{z^3 e^{-zt}}{z^4 + n^2}; z_{\pm,n}) = \xi_n \sum \frac{1}{3} e^{-z_{\pm,n} t}$$

$$= \frac{2i\xi_n}{3} e^{nt/\sqrt{2}} \sin(nt/\sqrt{2}) + \frac{2i\xi_n}{3} e^{-nt/\sqrt{2}} \sin(nt/\sqrt{2}).$$

Hence $\theta_n(t)$ is in ℓ^2 for any t only if the coefficients decrease exponentially.

References

[1] S.A. Avdonin, M.I. Belishev, and S. A. Ivanov, *Matrix inverse problem for the equation* $u_{tt} - u_{xx} + Q(x)u = 0$, Math. USSR Sbornik, **7** (1992), 287–310.

[2] Avdonin, S., Pandolfi, L., *A linear algorithm for the identification of a weakly singular relaxation kernel using two boundary measurements,* submitted.

[3] Pandolfi, L., *A linear algorithm for the identification of a relaxation kernel using two boundary measures,* Inverse Problems 31 (2015), no. 10, 105003, 12 pp.

[4] Pandolfi, L., *Identification of the relaxation kernel in diffusion processes and viscoelasticity with memory via deconvolution,* Math. Methods Appl. Sci., DOI: 10.1002/mma.4180

[5] Ivanov S.A., Eremenko A., *Spectra of the Gurtin-Pipkin type equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 43, pp. 2296-2306.

[6] Ivanov S. Singularity Propagation for the Gurtin-Pipkin equation, arxiv.org/abs/1312.1580

[7] Gurtin M.E., Pipkin A.C., A general theory of heat conduction with finite wave speeds.*Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 1968; 32:113-126.*

[8] Ivanov S. *Regularity of the Gurtin-Pipkin equation.* http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.0616

[9] A. L. Bukhgeim, N. I. Kalinina, and V. B. Kardakov, Two methods in an inverse problem of memory reconstruction, Siberian Math. J., V. 41 (2000), 4, pp. 767-776, in Russian.

[10] Pandolfi L. Distributed Systems with Persistent Memory Control and Moment Problems. Springer. Briefs in Electrical and Computer Engineering 2014.

[11] Vlasov V.V., Rautian N.A., Shamaev A.S., *Spectral analysis and correct solvability of abstract integrodifferential equations arising in thermophysics and acoustics*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences April 2013, Volume 190, Issue 1, pp 34-65.

[12] Janno, J., von Wolfersdorf, L.Inverse problems for memory kernels by Laplace transform methods. Zeitschrift fur Analysis und ihre Anwendungen (2000) Volume: 19, Issue: 2, page 489-510

[13] Simon, B.: A new aproach to inverse spectral theory, I. Fundamental formalism. Ann. of Math. 150, 1029-1057 (1999)

[14] F. Gesztesy , B. Simon. On Local Borg-Marchenko Uniqueness Results (2000) Commun. Math. Phys. 211, 273 - 287 (2000).

[15] Bennewitz, C, (2001). A proof of the local Borg-Marchenko theorem. Communications of Mathematical Physics, 218, (1), 131 - 132.

[16] Marchenko, V.A. Certain problems in the theory of second-order differential operators. Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR 72, 457-460 (1950) (Russian).

[17] Joseph DD, Narain A, Riccius O. Shear-wave speeds and elastic moduli for different liquids. Part 1. Theory. (1986) J Fluid Mech. V.171, pp. 1289 308